

## EUCAST technical note on posaconazole\*

**M. C. Arendrup<sup>1</sup>, M. Cuenca-Estrella<sup>2</sup>, J. P. Donnelly<sup>3</sup>,  
W. Hope<sup>4</sup>, C. Lass-Flörl<sup>5</sup>, J.-L. Rodriguez-Tudela<sup>2</sup> and  
The European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility  
testing – subcommittee on antifungal susceptibility testing  
(EUCAST-AFST)\***

1) Unit of Mycology, Department of Microbiological Surveillance and Research, Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2) Mycology Reference Laboratory, National Center for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Spain, 3) Department of Haematology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center & Nijmegen University Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands, 4) The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, NIHR Translational Research Facility in Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK and 5) Division of Hygiene and Microbiology, Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria

### Abstract

The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing-Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST-AFST) has determined breakpoints for posaconazole for *Candida* spp. This Technical Note is based on the EUCAST posaconazole rationale document (available on the EUCAST website: <http://www.eucast.org>). Species-specific breakpoints for *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* are S: MIC ≤0.06 mg/L, R: MIC >0.06 mg/L. There are insufficient data to set breakpoints for *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei* as well as non-species-related breakpoints. The breakpoints are based upon pharmacokinetic data, epidemiological cut-off values and clinical experience. Breakpoints will be reviewed regularly.

**Keywords:** breakpoints, *Candida*, EUCAST Technical Note, Posaconazole, susceptibility testing

**Original Submission:** 9 June 2011; **Revised Submission:** 28 July 2011; **Accepted:** 8 August 2011

Editor: E. Roilides

**Article published online:** 17 August 2011

*Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011; **17**: E16–E17

10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03646.x

**Corresponding author:** M. C. Arendrup, Unit of Mycology, Department of Microbiological Surveillance and Research, Statens Serum Institute, Artillerivej 5, DK-2300 Copenhagen, Denmark  
**E-mail:** [maiken@arendrup.dk](mailto:maiken@arendrup.dk)

\*EUCAST-AFST members: M. C. Arendrup (Chairman, Denmark), W. W. Hope (Secretary), C. Lass-Flörl, Steering Committee (Austria), M. Cuenca-Estrella, Steering Committee (Spain), S. Arikan (Turkey), F. Barchiesi (Italy), J. Bille (Switzerland), E. Chryssanthou (Sweden), E. Dannaoui (France), P. Gaustad (Norway), H. Järvi (Estonia), N. Klimko (Russia), C. B. Moore (UK), A. Schmalreck (Germany), A. Velegri (Greece), P. Verweij (the Netherlands).

### Introduction

Posaconazole is a triazole antifungal agent active *in vitro* against *Candida* spp. and *Cryptococcus* spp. as well as *Aspergillus* spp. and certain other moulds. The drug is approved for the following indications: (i) refractory invasive fungal diseases including aspergillosis, fusariosis, chromoblastomycosis, coccidioidomycosis and mycetoma, (ii) first-line therapy for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis of patients who have severe disease or who are immunocompromized, for whom a response to topical therapy is expected to be poor and (iii) the prophylaxis of invasive fungal disease of patients receiving remission-induction chemotherapy for acute myelogenous leukaemia or myelodysplastic syndromes as well as for haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with graft vs. host disease.

The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing-Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST-AFST) has determined breakpoints for posaconazole for *Candida* spp. This Technical Note is based on the EUCAST posaconazole rationale document (available on the EUCAST website: <http://www.eucast.org>). The rationale document includes more detail and published references related to the selection of EUCAST-AFST breakpoints (<http://www.srga.org/eucastwt/MICTAB/EUCAST%20clinical%20MIC%20breakpoints%20-%20antimicrobials%20for%20Candida%20infections.htm>).

The breakpoints are based on licensed dosing of 100 mg × 1, 200 mg × 3, 200 mg × 4 and 400 mg × 2 for mucosal infection and were established using MIC values from many sources. Wild-type isolates exhibits MICs of *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* ≤0.064 mg/L, *C. glabrata* ≤1 mg/L, *C. krusei* ≤0.5 mg/L and *C. guilliermondii* ≤0.25 mg/L. The clinical data from four clinical trials on mucosal candidosis in HIV patients were used [1–3 and Data on File (Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA)]. The dataset included 488 *C. albicans*, 11 *C. glabrata*, 4 *C. krusei* and 3 *C. tropicalis*. MICs were determined by a reference laboratory. There were 448 (88.5%) successes and 58 (11.5%) failures. For *C. albicans* the rate of response was 89.3%. These studies did not include MICs by the EUCAST method so a

**TABLE 1. Species-specific Posaconazole EUCAST breakpoints**

Species <sup>a,b</sup>	Species-related breakpoints <sup>b</sup> (mg/L)	
<i>C. albicans</i>	S ≤ 0.06	R > 0.06
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	S ≤ 0.06	R > 0.06
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	S ≤ 0.06	R > 0.06

<sup>a</sup>There is insufficient evidence to set non-species-related breakpoints.

<sup>b</sup>Epidemiological cut-off values for *C. glabrata*, *C. guilliermondii* and *C. krusei* are 1, 0.25 and 0.5 mg/L, respectively, 2–4 two-fold dilutions higher than those for *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis*. In addition, the small number of cases in the clinical trials means that there is insufficient evidence to indicate whether the wild-type populations of these pathogens can be considered as susceptible to posaconazole. Hence, for *C. glabrata*, *C. guilliermondii* and *C. krusei* there is insufficient evidence to set breakpoints.

correlation of *in vitro* MICs with clinical outcome is not possible. Furthermore, there is no clinical evidence that cases involving isolates with acquired resistance mechanisms respond to treatment, hence the EUCAST breakpoints, which are summarized in Table 1, are based upon pharmacokinetic data, epidemiological cut-off values and clinical experience [1–6]. Breakpoints will be reviewed regularly.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Transparency Declaration

The authors do not have any potential conflicts of interests related particularly to this paper. MCA has received research grants and acted as speaker for Astellas, Gilead, MSD and Pfizer, and been a consultant for Gilead, MSD and Pcovery. MCE has received grant support from Astellas Pharma, bio-Merieux, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Soria Melguizo SA, the European Union, the ALBAN programme, the Spanish Agency for International Cooperation, the Spanish Ministry of Culture and Education, The Spanish Health Research Fund, The Instituto de Salud Carlos III, The Ramon Areces Foundation and The Mutua Madrileña Foundation. He has been an advisor/consultant to the Panamerican Health Organization, Gilead Sciences, Merck

Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer and Schering Plough. He has been paid for talks on behalf of Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer and Schering Plough. JPD has been a consultant for Astellas, Gilead, Merck, Pfizer, received research grants from Pfizer and is on the speakers bureau for Gilead, Merck and Pfizer. CLF has research grants, consultant and/or speakers bureau, for Pfizer, Astellas, Gilead and Merck. VWH has research grants, consultant and/or speakers bureau, for Pfizer, Astellas, Gilead, Merck, Vectura and F2G. JLR has received grant support from Astellas Pharma, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Soria Melguizo SA, the European Union, the Spanish Agency for International Cooperation, the Spanish Ministry of Culture and Education, The Spanish Health Research Fund, The Instituto de Salud Carlos III, The Ramon Areces Foundation and The Mutua Madrileña Foundation. He has been an advisor/consultant to the Panamerican Health Organization, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Mycog-nostica, Pfizer and Schering Plough. He has been paid for talks on behalf of Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Pfizer and Schering Plough.

## References

1. Skiest DJ, Vazquez JA, Anstead GM *et al.* Posaconazole for the treatment of azole-refractory oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in subjects with HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44: 607–614.
2. Vazquez JA, Skiest DJ, Nieto L *et al.* A multicenter randomized trial evaluating posaconazole versus fluconazole for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis in subjects with HIV/AIDS. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 42: 1179–1186.
3. Vazquez JA, Skiest DJ, Tissot-Dupont H, Lennox JL, Boparai N, Isaacs R. Safety and efficacy of posaconazole in the long-term treatment of azole-refractory oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in patients with HIV infection. *HIV Clin Trials* 2007; 8: 86–97.
4. Krishna G, Sansone-Parsons A, Martinho M, Kantesaria B, Pedicone L. Posaconazole plasma concentrations in juvenile patients with invasive fungal infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; 51: 812–818.
5. Krishna G, Moton A, Ma L, Medlock MM, McLeod J. Pharmacokinetics and absorption of posaconazole oral suspension under various gastric conditions in healthy volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53: 958–966.
6. Krishna G, Ma L, Vickery D *et al.* Effect of varying amounts of a liquid nutritional supplement on the pharmacokinetics of posaconazole in healthy volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53: 4749–4752.